# Impact Analysis Report / RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0170** (RTC-59102) |
| **Related Incident ID** |  |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | TAXUD/B3, CD3 |
| **CI** | **NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 Appendices – CSE-v51.6.0)** |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-5.14.1 Appendices - CSE-v51.6.0): Update the Section “1.Introduction” of APP\_Q2 pdf** |
| In DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 Appendix Q2 (PDF file), the Section “1.Introduction” already defines which External Domain (ED) messages are defined with transition structure, and it already explain that for the rest, each National NCTS team needs to adapt the rest of the messages, based on the IE015 and based on the Common Domain messages. To address the questions received from NAs, the importance of this section is further highlighted and the clarity/readability of the section increased. |

**Section 2: Problem statement**

|  |
| --- |
| The page 2 of the Appendix Q2 of the DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 includes the Section “***1.Introduction***”.  It needs to be improved to ensure that ALL readers of this Appendix Q2 catch the **crucial importance**, the **meaning** and the **consequences** of this text. |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The **DDNTA\_APP\_Q2** shall be updated as follows (addition of **text highlighted in yellow** – removal of ~~text with strikethrough~~ ):  The section “1. Introduction” will be updated as follows:  **1. Introduction**  This appendix describes the Technical Message Structure (TMS) of DDNTA for NCTS-P5 as a technical implementation of each Functional Message Structure (FMS). The TMS are organized into data groups that contain data items. A data group is not necessarily the equivalent of a database entity. The data items are grouped together in such a way that they build up coherent logical blocks within the scope of each FMS. The Technical Message Structure principles and conventions are defined in DDCOM.    This appendix lists:  - The characteristics of the data groups belonging to the FMS: sequence, number of repetitions, a  status value to indicate if the data group is **mandatory** (R: Required), **optional** (O: Optional) or **conditional** (D: Dependent)  - The Data group with indentation that indicates that the data group may contain not only data items but also other groups of data  - The ~~Applicable~~ ‘Rules and Conditions’ applicable to the data groups~~/~~ and data items  - The ~~Applicable~~ NCTS-P5 Codelists applicable to the data items    In regards to ‘Rules ~~&~~and Conditions’, the following classes are used:  Rxxxx: Rule  Cxxxx: Condition  Txxxx: Technical Rule  Exxxx: Technical Rule for Transition (TRT)  Bxxxx: Business Rule for Transition (BRT)  Sxxxx: Sequencing Rule  Gxxxx: Guideline  **IMPORTANT WARNING**  The Technical Rules for Transition (Exxxx) and Business Rules for Transition (B1xxx and B2xxx) are defined and applied to all Common Domain messages and **only to the following External Domain messages: CC015C and CC013C**.  For all the Common Domain messages and the CC013C and CC015C, the structure is defined by taking into account the ***To-Be*** **structure**, the ***Transitional Period* structure** and it lists all the **required transitional measures applied to them**.  For the External Domain messages (except CC013C and CC015C), the structure presented is the *To-Be* structure **only***,* **without any transitional measures applied to them**.  Consequently: ~~leaving~~ ~~each~~   * **all NAs have to define and to apply their own transition rules** on their External Domain messages, **if needed and where needed**, depending on their national transition strategy; * **all NAs have to define the *Transitional Period* structure** for their External Domain messages and all the **required transitional measures to be applied,** depending on their national transition strategy; * each NA is invited to assess which TRTs and BRTs are applicable to CC015C and CC013C and other External Domain messages, taking into account ~~their~~ its transition strategy applied nationally, in order to ensure that:   - the traders will become familiar with the To-Be structure of the declaration messages (aligned to the UCC Annex B) as early as possible;  - the transition to the Final Period will be as smooth as possible, with minimum differences impacting the traders operating in NCTS-P5.    Please refer to DDCOM for more detailed description of ‘Rules and Conditions’ naming conventions.    **DDNA RFC-List Alignment**  ~~The~~ This ~~specific~~ Appendix is aligned to DDNTA RFC-List.346  **IMPACT ASSESSMENT:**  **No impact on External Domain.**  This RFC-Proposal is considered as a ***likely* documentary improvement** of the DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix Q2) and assumed to have no implementation impact for the NAs.  However, all NTAs **must be aligned** to the section “1. Introduction” as defined in this RFC-Proposal **BEFORE starting the NCTS-P5 operations (and also before the CT)**.  In this context, if this RFC-Proposal would require a correction of some of the NTAs (NCTS-P5) under development, this change must be applied as soon as possible and **strictly before NTA is starting Conformance Testing**.  If this is not the case, it would lead to a chaotic situation on the External Domain.  **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (**T-Ops**):   As soon as possible, at latest 1.12.2023  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (**T-CT**):                     July 2022  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (**T-CAB**):                  January 2022  **Impact on transition P4-P5**: None (or Major)  **Consequence of not approving the RFC-Proposal**: Possible confusion remains when defining the External Domain interface.  **Risk of not implementing the change**: High.  Impacted messages:   * None   Impacted CI Artefacts:  **- DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix Q2\_R\_C, PDFs): Yes;**  **- CSE-v51.6.0: Yes;**  - UCC IA/DA Annex B: No;  - Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM)-v5.30: No;  - DDCOM-20.3.0-v1.00: No;  - DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): No;  - DMP Package-5.6.0 SfA-v1.00: No (incl. update of file Rules and Conditions\_v0.43): No;  - CTS-5.6.1-v1.00: No;  - ACS-v5.5.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.5.0: No;  - NCTS\_CTP-5.7.0-v1.00: No;  - NCTS\_TRP-5.7.5: No;  - ieCA 1.0.1.0: No;  - CRP-5.5.0-v1.00: No;  - CS/MIS2\_DATA: No;  - CS/RD2\_DATA: No;  - AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No. |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **The appendix Q2 (PDF) is generated by the Specs Manager application.** | |
| **CSE-v51.6.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **The CSE database (MDB) is generated by the Specs Manager application.** | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **To be assessed by each NA.**  **IF**  **the NA already implemented the correct logic and properly adapted the external domain messages as needed, considering its transition strategy**  **THEN**  **no action & no impact on the NA**  **ELSE**  **the specifications communicated to the National Trade community need to be reviewed and the ongoing development may require some corrections.** | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | |  |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by CUSTDEV | 03/06/2021 |  |
| v0.11 | SfR to NPMs | 30/11/2021 |  |
| v0.12 | Updates by CUSTDEV | 15/12/2021 | *Version Update* |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 25/02/2022 | SfA to NPMs |